

GAVILAN COLLEGE CURRICULUM MEETING

Monday, March 27, 2006

2:10-4:00 p.m., PH 101

MINUTES

Present: S. Dodd, J. Harmon, D. Van Tassel, K. Campbell, E. Alster, F.Lopez, J. Olivas, S.C. Au-Yeung, A. Rosette, E. Luna, J. Pruitt, J. Parker, F. Lozano, R. Lee, M. Machado, K. Warren, L. Tenney, B. Donovan, A. Oropeza

Guests: M. Bumgarner, M. Sanidad, T. Newman, K. Wagman, R. Perez

I. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Susan Dodd at 2:15 p.m.

II. Agenda Adjustments

Add: V.B. Information

III. Approval of Consent Agenda

MSC (E. Alster/K. Campbell) to approve.

A. Minutes of March 13, 2006

B. 2. Math 1A

B.1. PSYC 52 was withdrawn to allow for the correction of hours.

IV. Curriculum

A. New Business

1. New Course Proposal – Second Reading

a. PE 74 – Hiking

MSC (E. Alster/D. Van Tassel) to approve.

2. Modification to Existing Course – Form D

a. CD 1 – Principles and Philosophies of Early Childhood Education

b. CD 5 – Child, Family, and Community

c. CD 8A – American Education in a Changing World

d. CD 14B – Behavior Management & Guidance of School Age Children

e. CD 19 – Introduction to Careers with Children

MSC (A. Rosette/K. Campbell) to approve a-e.

f. ART 10A – Cultural History of Ceramics

g. ART 25A – Art Methods

h. ART 25B – Art Methods

MSC (E. Luna/A. Rosette) to approve f-h.

V. Issues

A. Old Business

1. Non Credit Program and ESL Classes

Susan reviewed non-credit program information, including the categories, similarities and differences with credit programs, and the current non credit

classes and the departments they fall under. Rachel reported that the Chancellor's Office is reviewing non-credit for more depth and accountability in basic skills and short-term vocational to facilitate moving up to the next level. Also under review is the possibility of full State apportionment. There was discussion about whether departments have approval rights of non-credit courses. It was agreed that currently it is more of an endorsement. It was also agreed that the process needs to be clear. Jane stated that it is an issue of who can bring forward curriculum; it can come from different places, in a collaborative process, and in an attempt to help the community. Concerns were expressed regarding how non-credit articulates with credit courses, being that they are open entry, not graded, and have no homework. Rachel suggested that we should have the discussion about what non-credit should look like, what can be offered and in what areas, how we offer the courses, and what is needed in the community. ESL staff support non-credit classes that don't duplicate credit offerings such as ESL parenting, GED, and citizenship. Rachel agreed to give an overview of non-credit programs at other area community colleges at the next meeting. Elaine stated that all courses in adult prep are adult ed non-credit, and have followed the same curriculum procedures as credit courses.

## 2. Community Education Course Offerings

Susan stated that the past practice of the curriculum committee review of community education course offerings before they go to the Board will be reinstated. Dennie requested that the offerings be reviewed by the curriculum committee before they are offered to address any concerns about duplication with credit courses. Terry stated that she was not able to provide a list because of schedule timeline difficulties. She doesn't anticipate this problem except for this summer. Fran Lozano suggested that previous curriculum committee minutes be checked to review the process so the list of classes is brought here before offering. Rachel suggested developing a list of proposed classes pending room availability. Susan suggested developing a list of potential classes, with the understanding that it may change.

## B. Information

### 1. Numbering System

Jesse reported that the State is mandating a change in the California Articulation Numbering (CAN) system and replacing it with a common numbering system, Course Identification System (C-ID). It will facilitate articulation between community colleges and universities. Faculty will be asked to participate in the discussions.

## VI. Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned by consensus at 3:28 p.m.